


Communication

A Brønsted Acid Catalyst for the Enantioselective Protonation Reaction

Cheol Hong Cheon, and Hisashi Yamamoto

J. Am. Chem. Soc., **2008**, 130 (29), 9246-9247 • DOI: 10.1021/ja8041542 • Publication Date (Web): 27 June 2008

Downloaded from <http://pubs.acs.org> on February 8, 2009



More About This Article

Additional resources and features associated with this article are available within the HTML version:

- Supporting Information
- Links to the 4 articles that cite this article, as of the time of this article download
- Access to high resolution figures
- Links to articles and content related to this article
- Copyright permission to reproduce figures and/or text from this article

[View the Full Text HTML](#)



ACS Publications
 High quality. High impact.

A Brønsted Acid Catalyst for the Enantioselective Protonation Reaction

Cheol Hong Cheon and Hisashi Yamamoto*

Department of Chemistry, The University of Chicago, 5735 South Ellis Avenue, Chicago, Illinois 60637

Received June 2, 2008; E-mail: yamamoto@uchicago.edu


Metal-free chiral Brønsted acid catalysis has been a growing area of research for the past decade. Several chiral Brønsted acids such as urea/thiourea and TADDOL have been reported as an activator of electrophiles via hydrogen bonding.^{1,2} In 2004, the research groups of Akiyama³ and Terada⁴ independently reported a different type of activation of electrophiles by way of protonation with moderately strong phosphoric acids derived from chiral BINOLs. Following these seminal studies, it soon became clear that chiral phosphoric acids possessed tremendous potential for application in the development of novel asymmetric processes.⁵ However, due to the relatively low acidity of phosphoric acids, their utility has been limited to more basic nitrogen-based electrophiles such as imines or aziridines. The activation of aldehydes and ketones by chiral phosphoric acids has been very rare. Our group reported the first activation of carbonyl compounds with chiral phosphoric acids by introducing the *N*-trifluoromethanesulfonyl (NTf) group into phosphoric acid.^{6,7} Continuing efforts to make the utility of chiral phosphoric acid catalysts more general in organic synthesis require the design of a new chiral Brønsted acid with higher acidity. In general, acidity increases as it descends in a column of the periodic table due to better stabilization of the conjugate base in a larger size atom. For example, the p*K*_a values of PhOH, PhSH, and PhSeH in DMSO are 18.0, 10.3, and 7.1, respectively.⁸ With this in mind, we expected that substitution of the oxygen in the P=O bond in an *N*-triflyl phosphoramidate with sulfur or selenium would increase the reactivity of the Brønsted acid.⁹

Enantioselective protonation of prochiral enol derivatives is an attractive route for the preparation of optically active α -carbonyl compounds.¹⁰ However, it is difficult to control the enantioselectivity in an acidic condition because of the bonding flexibility between the proton and its chiral counterion and the orientational flexibility of the proton. Our group overcame these difficulties with the Lewis acid assisted chiral Brønsted acid (LBA) system.^{11,12} Although the LBA provided an excellent solution for asymmetric protonation reactions of silyl enol ethers, to the best of our knowledge, metal-free chiral Brønsted acid catalyzed enantioselective protonations of silyl enol ethers have never been reported.¹³ This is probably due to the unavailability of chiral Brønsted acids with suitable acidity. Herein we describe the preparation of a new designer chiral Brønsted acid and its application to the enantioselective protonation reaction of silyl enol ethers.

Chiral *N*-triflyl thio- or selenophosphoramidates **4a–e** and **5** were synthesized from the optically active BINOL derivatives by thio- or selenophosphorylation with PSCl₃ or PCI₃ followed by oxidation with selenium powder and amidation of the resultant thio- or selenophosphoryl chlorides by NH₂Tf.¹⁴ X-ray crystallography revealed, as suggested in the molecular structure of **4c** (Table 1), **4c** has a P=S double bond rather than a P=N double bond, which implies the proton is located on the nitrogen atom, instead of the sulfur atom bonded to the phosphorus atom.¹⁴

To compare the catalytic reactivity of various chiral Brønsted acids **1–5**, the enantioselective protonations of the silyl enol ether **6a** were investigated in the presence of a stoichiometric amount of 2,4,6-

Table 1. Reactivity for the Protonation Reactions



entry	catalyst	time (h)	% yield ^a	er ^b	entry	catalyst	time (h)	% yield ^a	er ^b
1	1	96	NR	ND	3	3	4.5	>99 (98)	77:23 (S)
					4	4c	3.5	>99 (97)	89:11 (S)
2	2	96	trace	ND	5	5	3.5	>99 (97)	86:14 (S)


^a Yield was measured by ¹H NMR analysis, and the isolated yields are shown in parentheses. ^b Enantiomeric ratio (er) was determined by HPLC analysis. NR and ND mean no reaction and not determined, respectively.

(CH₃)₃C₆H₂CO₂H as an achiral proton source. The results are summarized in Table 1. Although **2** was slightly more reactive than **1**, almost no reaction was observed with **1** and/or **2** even after long reaction times (entries 1 and 2). However, **3–5** gave the desired product with quantitative yield and moderate to good enantioselectivity (entries 3–5). These results again supported our previous hypothesis that introduction of a =NTf group into the phosphoryl group could improve reactivity.^{6,7} Gratifyingly, we found that substitution of the oxygen with sulfur or selenium in the phosphoramidate improved enantioselectivity as well as reactivity (entries 3–5).

Next, we optimized the reaction conditions for the enantioselective protonation reaction with **4c**.¹⁴ Among various reaction parameters, we found that reactivities and enantioselectivities of protonation reactions catalyzed by **4c** are strongly dependent on the achiral proton sources. Higher enantioselectivity could be achieved with nonhindered phenol and carboxylic acid derivatives as achiral proton sources. Under these optimized conditions, several *N*-triflyl thiophosphoramidates **4a–e** with varying substituents at the 3,3'-positions of the binaphthyl scaffold were tested. We found that alkyl substituents at 2,6-positions of aromatic substituents at 3,3'-positions of the binaphthyl scaffold are crucial for enantioselectivity. Enantioselectivity as high as 91:9 enantiomeric ratio (er) could be obtained using **4d** as the catalyst.

Using these optimized conditions with catalyst **4d**, various silyl enol ethers were studied in the enantioselective protonation reaction. The results are summarized in Table 2. Various 2-aryl-substituted cyclic ketones, bearing either electron-donating or electron-withdrawing substituents, could be obtained in quantitative yields and high enantioselectivities (entries 1–4). However, the enantioselectivity showed a slight dependence on the size of α -substituents of the cyclic ketones. A bulky substituent gave slightly better enantioselectivity (entry 5), while the aryl substituent carrying *o*-substitution had a deleterious effect on the enantioselectivity (entry 6). In addition, the ring size of the cyclic ketone affected enantioselectivity: the seven-membered ring ketone had better enantioselectivity than six-membered ring ketones (entries 1, 5, 7, and 9). It should be noted that the catalyst loading

Table 2. Substrate Scope



entry	<i>n</i>	Ar	time (h)	% yield ^a	er ^b (config)
1	1	Ph (6a)	8	>99 (97)	91:9 (<i>S</i>)
2	1	4-MeC ₆ H ₄ (6b)	6	>99 (96)	93:7 (<i>S</i>)
3	1	4-MeOC ₆ H ₄ (6c)	12	>99 (98)	92:8 (<i>S</i>)
4	1	4-ClC ₆ H ₄ (6d)	12	>99 (95)	92:8
5	1	2-Naphthyl (6e)	12	>99 (99)	93:7 (<i>S</i>)
6 ^c	1	2-MeOC ₆ H ₄ (6f)	40	>99 (97)	86:14
7	2	Ph (6g)	6	>99 (99)	94:6 (<i>S</i>)
8 ^c	2	Ph (6g)	24	>99	95:5 (<i>S</i>)
9	2	2-naphthyl (6h)	6	>99 (97)	95:5
10 ^c	2	2-naphthyl (6h)	22	>99	94:6
11	1	-CH ₂ Ph (6i) (94:6) ^d	8	>99 (97)	77:23 (<i>S</i>) (79:21) ^e
12	1	-cyclohexyl (6j) (96:4) ^d	8	>99 (96)	82:18 (<i>S</i>) (84:16) ^e

^a Yield was measured by ¹H NMR analysis, and the isolated yields are shown in parentheses. ^b Enantiomeric ratio was determined by HPLC or GC analysis. ^c 1 mol % of catalyst was used. ^d The value in parentheses indicates the regioisomeric ratio of the starting silyl enol ethers. ^e Corrected value based on the regioisomeric ratio of the starting silyl enol ethers.

could be reduced to 1 mol % without any detrimental effect on the selectivity, although longer reaction times were needed (entries 7–10). In addition, asymmetric protonations of the silyl enol ethers of 2-alkyl-substituted cyclic ketones were achieved using this catalytic system in quantitative yields, even though the enantioselectivities were moderate (entries 11 and 12).

With these successful results in terms of catalyst activity and enantioselectivity, we wanted to test the reactivity and robustness of the Brønsted acid by decreasing the catalyst loading. The protonated product of **6g** was quantitatively obtained in 94:6 er with a catalyst loading of only 0.1 mol %. Even the smallest substrate/catalyst ratio, namely S/C 2000 at room temperature, provided excellent yield and good enantioselectivity. This was an unprecedented example of chiral phosphoric acid catalysis with such a low catalyst loading, which proves its remarkable catalytic efficiency.¹⁵


6g	4d (x mol %)	7g	entry	x mol%	%yield ^a	e.r.
	PhOH (1.1 eq)		1	5	>99	94:6
	toluene, rt		2	1	>99	95:5
			3	0.5	>99	94:6
			4	0.1	>99	94:6
			5 ^b	0.05	80	93:7

^a Yield was measured by ¹H NMR analysis, except entry 5. ^b Isolated yield.

Preliminary studies into the mechanism of the Brønsted acid catalyzed asymmetric protonation reaction of silyl enol ethers indicate that achiral proton sources play an important role in determining reactivity.¹⁴ In the absence of an achiral proton source, even though a stoichiometric amount of chiral Brønsted acid was used, no reaction was observed even after 2 days. However, when the same reaction was carried out in the presence of stoichiometric amount of CH₃CO₂H as an achiral proton source, the reaction was completed within 2 h with almost the same enantioselectivity as the catalytic one.

These results suggest that the protonation reaction proceeds through a two-step sequence (Scheme 1): initially, the protonation takes place enantioselectively from the chiral Brønsted acid **HA** or achiral oxonium ion pair [PhOH₂]⁺•[A]⁻, generated by rapid proton transfer between **HA** and the achiral proton source **PhOH**, to the silyl enol ether **a** to form an intermediary chiral ion pair [aH]⁺•[A]⁻.¹⁶ This is followed by the desilylation with **PhOH** to form the corresponding ketone **b**, the silylated achiral proton source **Ph-OTMS**, and the regenerated **HA**.

Scheme 1. Proposed Mechanism of Brønsted Acid Catalyzed Asymmetric Protonations of Silyl Enol Ethers



Without **PhOH**, the desilylation was very slow because the affinity of the resultant conjugate base [A]⁻ to the silicon is quite low. However, in the presence of **PhOH** with enough affinity to silicon desilylation could be accelerated.¹⁷

In conclusion, we have reported the first metal-free Brønsted acid catalyzed asymmetric protonation reactions of silyl enol ethers using a chiral Brønsted acid catalyst in the presence of achiral Brønsted acid media. In addition, the reactivity of this Brønsted acid is especially appealing for chiral phosphoric acid catalysis in that the catalyst loading for this reaction could be decreased up to 0.05 mol % without any significant loss of enantioselectivity.

Acknowledgment. This work was partially supported by NSF (Grant No. 0412060), NIH (Grant No. RO1 GM074639-01), and Merck. Special thanks to Dr. Ian Steele for X-ray crystallographic analysis.

Supporting Information Available: Experimental procedures and spectroscopic data of new compounds. This material is available free of charge via the Internet at <http://pubs.acs.org>.

References

- (1) For a review of enantioselective organocatalysis, see: Pihko, P. M.; Moisan, L. *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.* **2004**, *43*, 2062, and see Supporting Information for more references.
- (2) For a review of hydrogen bond organic catalysis, see: Doyle, A. G.; Jacobsen, E. N. *Chem. Rev.* **2007**, *107*, 5713, and see Supporting Information for more references.
- (3) Akiyama, T.; Itoh, J.; Yokota, D.; Fuchibe, K. *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.* **2004**, *43*, 1566.
- (4) Uraguchi, D.; Terada, M. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2004**, *126*, 5356.
- (5) For a review of chiral phosphoric acid catalysis, see: Akiyama, T. *Chem. Rev.* **2007**, *107*, 5744, and see Supporting Information for more references.
- (6) (a) Nakashima, D.; Yamamoto, H. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2006**, *128*, 9626. (b) Jiao, P.; Nakashima, D.; Yamamoto, H. *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.* **2008**, *47*, 2411.
- (7) After our publication of *N*-triflyl phosphamide, two other examples of carbonyl activation with this reagent were published; see: (a) Rueping, M.; Ieawsuwan, D.; Antonchick, A. P.; Nachtsheim, B. J. *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.* **2007**, *46*, 2097. (b) Rueping, M.; Nachtsheim, B. J.; Moreth, S. A.; Bolte, M. *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.* **2008**, *47*, 593.
- (8) Bordwell, F. G. *Acc. Chem. Res.* **1988**, *21*, 456.
- (9) Similar acidity enhancement by substitution of the oxygen with sulfur was observed in the urea/thiourea catalyst. See ref 2 and Robak, M. T.; Trincado, M.; Ellman, J. A. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2007**, *129*, 15110.
- (10) For a review of enantioselective protonation, see: Duhamel, L.; Duhamel, P.; Plaquevent, J. C. *Tetrahedron: Asymmetry* **2004**, *15*, 3653, and see Supporting information for more references.
- (11) For the asymmetric protonation with LBA, see: Nakashima, D.; Yamamoto, H. *Synlett* **2006**, 150, and see Supporting Information for more references.
- (12) For another example of asymmetric protonation of silyl enol ether with BinaP•AgF complex, see: Yanagisawa, A.; Touge, T.; Arai, T. *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.* **2005**, *44*, 1546.
- (13) For another excellent organocatalytic asymmetric protonation of silyl enol ethers in different systems was reported, see: Poisson, T.; Dalla, V.; Marsais, F.; Dupas, G.; Oudeyer, S.; Levacher, V. *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.* **2007**, *46*, 7090.
- (14) See Supporting Information for more detailed experimental results.
- (15) For another excellent example of high S/C ratio with phosphoric acid, see: Terada, M.; Machioka, K.; Sorimachi, K. *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.* **2006**, *45*, 2254.
- (16) For a concept of asymmetric counteranion-directed catalysis, see: (a) Mayer, S.; List, B. *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.* **2005**, *45*, 4193. (b) Hamilton, G. L.; Kang, E. J.; Mba, M.; Toste, F. D. *Science* **2007**, *317*, 496.
- (17) Similar reaction rate enhancement of phosphoric acid catalysis in alcoholic solvents: Sickert, M.; Schneider, C. *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.* **2008**, *47*, 3631.

JA8041542